

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**GUADALUPE GARCIA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**STATE FARM LLOYDS  
AND ERIK BORING,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

**DEFENDANTS STATE FARM LLOYDS AND ERIK BORING'S  
NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendants State Farm Lloyds ("State Farm") and Erik Boring ("Boring") (collectively, "Defendants") file this Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully show:

**I.**

**FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

1. On August 18, 2015, Plaintiff Guadalupe Garcia filed his Original Petition in the matter styled *Guadalupe Garcia v. State Farm Lloyds and Erik Boring*, Cause No. DC-15-09530, in the 68th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas. The lawsuit arises out of a claim Plaintiff Guadalupe Garcia made for damages to his home under a homeowner's insurance policy with State Farm.

2. Plaintiff served Erik Boring with a copy of the Original Petition on or about October 7, 2015.

3. Plaintiff served State Farm with a copy of the Original Petition on or about October 26, 2015.

4. Defendants State Farm and Boring file this Notice of Removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's pleading. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). In addition, this Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

5. All pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a). A copy of this Notice is also concurrently being filed with the state court and served upon the Plaintiff.

6. As required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), and Rule 81.1 of the Local Rules for the United States District Court of the Northern District of Texas, being filed simultaneously with the filing of this Notice of Removal is an Index of State Court Documents, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." A copy of the District Clerk's Case Summary sheet is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." A copy of the Civil Case Information Sheet is attached hereto as Exhibit "C." A copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit "D." A copy of the Citation to Defendant State Farm Lloyds is attached hereto as Exhibit "E." A copy of the Citation to Defendant Erik Boring is attached hereto as Exhibit "F" and a copy of the Return of Service for as to Defendant Erik Boring is attached hereto as Exhibit "G." A copy of the Notice of Intent to Dismiss is attached hereto as Exhibit "H." A copy of the return of service for State Farm Lloyds is attached hereto as Exhibit "I" and a copy of Defendants' State Farm Lloyds and Erik Boring's Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit "J." A copy of the Trial Setting is attached hereto as Exhibit "K." A copy of the Uniform Scheduling Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "L" and a copy of the Mediation Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "M." Also included with this filing are Defendant State Farm Lloyds and Erik Boring's

Certificate of Interested Persons, which is attached hereto as Exhibit “N,” and a Supplemental Civil Cover Sheet.

7. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) because this district and division embrace Dallas County, Texas, the place where the removed action has been pending and where the incident giving rise to this lawsuit took place.

## **II.**

### **BASIS FOR REMOVAL**

8. Removal is proper based on diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§1332(a), 1441(a) and 1446.

#### **A. The Proper Parties Are of Diverse Citizenship**

9. Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident of Dallas County, Texas, and a citizen of the State of Texas. *See* Pl’s Original Pet. p. 1, attached hereto as Exhibit “D.”

10. Defendant State Farm Lloyds, is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the State of Illinois. State Farm Lloyds is a “Lloyd’s Plan” organized under Chapter 941 of the Texas Insurance Code. It consists of an association of underwriters, each of whom, at the time this action was commenced were, and still are, citizens and residents of the state of Illinois. Therefore, State Farm Lloyds is a citizen and resident of the state of Illinois for diversity purposes. *Royal Ins. Co. v. Quinn-L Capital Corp.*, 3 F.3d 877, 882 (5th Cir. 1993); *Massey v. State Farm Lloyd’s Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998 (“the citizenship of State Farm Lloyds must be determined solely by the citizenship of its members, or underwriters.”); *Rappaport v. State Farm Lloyd’s*, 1998 WL 249211 (N.D. Tex. 1998) (finding that State Farm Lloyd’s is an unincorporated association whose members are completely diverse with Plaintiff, and denying remand).

11. Defendant Boring is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident and citizen of the State of Texas.

12. With respect to the claims against Boring, it is Defendant State Farm's position that he has been fraudulently joined in this action. Therefore, the Texas citizenship of Boring should be disregarded for the purposes of evaluating diversity in this matter.

13. When fraudulent joinder is asserted, the Court must "pierce the pleadings" to determine whether a cause of action grounded in fact exists. *Carriere v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*, 893 F.2d 98, 100 (5th Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 498 U.S. 817 (1990). The failure to specify a legal and factual basis for a claim against a non-diverse party constitutes a failure to state a claim and results in fraudulent joinder of that party. *Waters v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 158 F.R.D. 107, 109 (S.D. Tex. 1994).

14. Here, Plaintiff asserts generic claims against Boring for violations of the Texas Insurance Code. See Pl's Original Pet. pgs. 8-10, attached hereto as Exhibit "D." Based on Plaintiff's pleading, there is no basis for predicting that Plaintiff might be able to establish liability against Boring because no real facts relating to him have been set forth. Plaintiff's claims against Boring consist merely of labels, conclusions, and formulaic recitations of the elements of causes of action. See *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 554, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65, 167 L.Ed.2d 929, 940 (2007). See also *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1945, 173 L.Ed.2d 868 (2009). As such, Plaintiff cannot "establish a cause of action against [Boring] in state court." *Travis v. Irby*, 326 F.3d 644, 647 (5th Cir. 2003) (citing *Griggs v. State Farm Lloyds*, 181 F.3d 694, 698 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999)); see also *TAJ Properties, LLC v. Zurich American Ins. Co.*, Civil Action No. H-10-2512, 2010 WL 4923473 at \*2 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 29, 2010) (Werlein, J.). There is no reasonable basis for this Court to predict that Plaintiff might be

able to recover against Boring, and his presence should be disregarded in determining diversity jurisdiction.

15. Because Plaintiff is a citizen of Texas and Defendant State Farm Lloyds is a citizen of Illinois, complete diversity of citizenship exists among the proper parties

**B. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$75,000.00**

16. This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiff's Petition expressly alleges that he is seeking "monetary relief over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000." *See* Pl's Original Pet. p. 2, attached hereto as Exhibit "D." Based on the allegations set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition, the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the \$75,000.00 jurisdictional requirement.

**Conclusion and Prayer**

17. All requirements are met for removal under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1441. Accordingly, Defendants State Farm Lloyds and Erik Boring hereby remove this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rhonda J. Thompson

Rhonda J. Thompson  
State Bar No.: 24029862  
Lindsey Shine Lawrence  
State Bar No. 24053681

**THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.**

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor  
Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Facsimile: (214) 871-8209

Email: [rthompson@thompsoncoe.com](mailto:rthompson@thompsoncoe.com)

Email: [llawrence@thompsoncoe.com](mailto:llawrence@thompsoncoe.com)

**COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS  
STATE FARM LLOYDS AND ERIK BORING**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on the 6th day of November, 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered to the following counsel of record by electronic service and/or facsimile transmission and/or certified mail, return receipt requested:

Andres A. Arguello  
Arguello, Hope & Associates, P.L.L.C.  
1110 Nasa Parkway, Suite 620  
Houston, Texas 77058  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*

/s/ Rhonda J. Thompson  
Rhonda J. Thompson